UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/526,143	02/28/2005	Grant Stuart Richardson	41577/312175	2535
JOHN S. PRAT	7590 03/06/200 T. ESO	EXAMINER		
	STOCKTON, LLP		DIXON, ANNETTE FREDRICKA	
ATLANTA, GA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/526,143	RICHARDSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Annette F. Dixon	3771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS .	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(floor).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	2001100
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	adding of simplifying the	le issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and 14</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Justine R Yu/	/Annette F Dixon/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771	Examiner, Art Unit 3771		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts the prior art made of record does not disclose or teach: 1) a face mask having, "a first sealing means configured to form a seal on the face of a user surrounding an area of the user's face comprising the eyes, mouth, and nose", 2) the cooperation of the valve system and second cavity (4), and 3) a third sealing portion as required by claim 8. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertions. Regarding the first assertion, as shown in Figure 2, the first sealing means is seal 6. The seal (6) attaches on the brow of the user and extends to the frontal portion of the chin to define a sealed cavity that "surrounds...the eyes, mouth and nose" of the user. As addressed by Cronjaeger this seal (6) provides a chamber (7) between the seal (6) and the half mask (2), whereby seal penetration can be monitored (Column 3, Lines 40-46). As shown in the aforementioned figure this seal (6) and chamber (7) extends from the brow of the user, above the eyes, and around the face of the user to the frontal portion of the chin, below the mouth. Regarding the second assertion, as shown in Figure 2, the valve (9) cooperatively cooperates with the flap (8) thereby resulting in fluid communication with the second cavity (4). As addressed, the second cavity (4) is defined by the space between the first seal (6) and the second seal (11). As described above, the first seal extends from the brow of the user, above the eyes, and around the face of the user to the frontal portion of the chin, below the mouth. The second seal extends from above the first seal, encompassing the forehead, and extends around the face to below the jaw of the user. (Figure 2). As shown in Figure 2, a valve (9) is present within the defined second cavity (4) region (Column 4, Lines 35-50). During inhalation, the flap (8) actuates the valve (9) to an open position by which gas is applied to the second cavity (4). Regarding the third assertion, Cronjaeger discloses a third sealing element, defined by the half mask (2) sealing edge engaging solely the mouth and nose of the user. (Figure 2 and Column 3, Lines 66-68). Therefore, inApplicant asserts the prior art made of record does not disclose or teach: 1) a face mask having, "a first sealing means configured to form a seal on the face of a user surrounding an area of the user's face comprising the eyes, mouth, and nose", 2) the cooperation of the valve system and second cavity (4), and 3) a third sealing portion as required by claim 8. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertions. Regarding the first assertion, as shown in Figure 2, the first sealing means is seal 6. The seal (6) attaches on the brow of the user and extends to the frontal portion of the chin to define a sealed cavity that "surrounds...the eyes, mouth and nose" of the user. As addressed by Cronjaeger this seal (6) provides a chamber (7) between the seal (6) and the half mask (2), whereby seal penetration can be monitored (Column 3, Lines 40-46). As shown in the aforementioned figure this seal (6) and chamber (7) extends from the brow of the user, above the eyes, and around the face of the user to the frontal portion of the chin, below the mouth. Regarding the second assertion, as shown in Figure 2, the valve (9) cooperatively cooperates with the flap (8) thereby resulting in fluid communication with the second cavity (4). As addressed, the second cavity (4) is defined by the space between the first seal (6) and the second seal (11). As described above, the first seal extends from the brow of the user, above the eyes, and around the face of the user to the frontal portion of the chin, below the mouth. The second seal extends from above the first seal, encompassing the forehead, and extends around the face to below the jaw of the user. (Figure 2). As shown in Figure 2, a valve (9) is present within the defined second cavity (4) region (Column 4, Lines 35-50). During inhalation, the flap (8) actuates the valve (9) to an open position by which gas is applied to the second cavity (4). Regarding the third assertion, Cronjaeger discloses a third sealing element, defined by the half mask (2) sealing edge engaging solely the mouth and nose of the user. (Figure 2 and Column 3, Lines 66-68). Therefore, it light of the aforementioned reasoning the rejection of the claims has been maintained. light of the aforementioned reasoning the rejection of the claims has been maintained...